Uniprocessor Scheduling

Chapter 9

Contents

Types of scheduling
 Scheduling algorithms
 Traditional Unix scheduling

Types of Scheduling

∠Long-term

- the decision to add to the pool of processes to be executed
- *∝*Medium-term

the decision to add to the number of processes that are partially or fully in main memory

Types of Scheduling

Short-term

the decision as to which ready process will be executed by the processor

<u>≪</u>I/O

the decision as to which process's pending
I/O request shall be handled by available I/O device





Figure 9.1 Scheduling and Process State Transitions



Figure 9.2 Levels of Scheduling



Figure 9.3 Queuing Diagram for Scheduling

Long-Term Scheduling

 Determines which programs are admitted to the system for processing
 Controls the degree of multiprogramming
 more processes, smaller percentage of time each process is executed

Medium-Term Scheduling

Part of the swapping function
Swapping-in decision is based on the need to manage the degree of multiprogramming

Short-Term Scheduling

Short-term scheduler is known as the dispatcher

Invoked when following events occur
clock interrupts
I/O interrupts
operating system calls

*∠*signals

Short-Tem Scheduling Criteria

User-oriented, Performance Related
 User-oriented, Other
 System-oriented, Performance Related
 System-oriented,Other

Short-Tem Scheduling Criteria

User-oriented, Performance Related

≪Response Time

Turnaround Time

∠interval of time between the submission of a process and its completion

*∝*Deadline

∠meet the deadline

Short-Tem Scheduling Criteria

User-oriented, Other

∠ Predictability

- a given job should run in about the same amount of time and at about the same cost regardless of the load on the system
- a wide variation in response time or turnaround time is distracting to users

Short-Term Scheduling Criteria

System-oriented, Performance Related
Throughput
number of processes completed per unit of time
a measure of how much work is being done
Processor Utilization
the percentage of time that the processor is busy

Short-Term Scheduling Criteria

System-oriented, Other

*∝*Fairness

no process should suffer starvation

Enforcing Priorities

when priorities are assigned, higher priority process should be favored

Balancing Resources

keep the resources of the system busy

Use of Priorities

Scheduler will always choose a process of higher priority over one of lower priority

- Have multiple ready queues to represent each level of priority
- Lower-priority may suffer starvation

allow a process to change its priority based on its age or execution history



Figure 9.4 Priority Queuing

Decision Mode

Nonpreemptive

Once a process is in the running state, it will continue until it terminates or blocks itself for I/O

∝ Preemptive

- Currently running process may be interrupted and moved to the Ready state by OS
- Allows for better service since any one process cannot monopolize the processor for very long

Scheduling Algorithms

First-Come-First-Served
 Round-Robin
 Shortest Process Next
 Shortest Remaining Time
 Highest Response Ratio Next
 Feedback

Process Scheduling Example

Process	Arrival Time	Service Time		
A	0	3		
В	2	6		
С	4	4		
D	6	5		
E	8	2		

First-Come-First-Served (FCFS)



- As each process becomes ready, it joins the Ready queue
- When the current process ceases to execute, the oldest process in the Ready queue is selected

First-Come-First-Served (FCFS)

Perform much better for long processes
a short process may have to wait a very long time before it can execute

Favors CPU-bound processes

- I/O-bound processes have to wait until CPUbound process completes
- Not an attractive method

Round-Robin



∠ Uses preemption based on a clock

An amount of time is determined that allows each process to use the processor for that length of time

Round-Robin

Clock interrupt is generated at periodic intervals

- when an interrupt occurs, the currently running process is placed in the read queue
- known as time slicing
- Principal design issue is the length of time quantum
 - should be slightly greater than the time required for a typical interaction



(a) Time quantum greater than typical interaction



(b) Time quantum less than typical interaction

Figure 9.6 Effect of Size of Preemption Time Quantum

Round-Robin

Relatively favors the processor-bound job
 I/O-bound process uses a processor for a short period and then is blocked for I/O
 after waking up, it joins the ready queue
 Poor performance for I/O-bound processes
 inefficient use of I/O devices
 increase in the variance of response time
 Virtual Round-Robin Scheduler



Figure 9.7 Queuing diagram for virtual round-robin scheduler

Shortest Process Next



Nonpreemptive policy

Process with shortest expected processing time is selected next

Short process jumps ahead of longer processes

Shortest Process Next

 Need to estimate the required processing time
 in a production environment, same jobs run frequently and statistics may be gathered
 if estimated time for process not correct, the operating system may abort it
 Predictability of longer processes is reduced
 Possibility of starvation for longer processes

Shortest Remaining Time



 Preemptive version of shortest process next policy
 Must estimate processing time

Highest Response Ratio Next (HRRN)



Choose next process with the highest ratio

time spent waiting + expected service time

expected service time

Highest Response Ratio Next (HRRN)

Minimum value of ratio is 1.0
 Count for the age of the process
 generally shorter jobs are favored
 a smaller denominator yields a larger ratio
 aging without service increases the ratio so that a longer process will eventually get past competing shorter jobs

Feedback



Used when we don't know remaining time process needs to execute
 decision based on the past
 penalize jobs that have been running longer

Feedback

Process is demoted to the next lowerpriority queue each time it returns to the ready queue

- Longer processes drift downward
- To avoid starvation, we can vary the preemption times according to the queue



Figure 9.10 Feedback scheduling



Figure 9.5 A comparison of scheduling policies



Figure 9.5 A comparison of scheduling policies

Fair-share Scheduling

User's application runs as a collection of processes (threads)

- User is concerned about the performance of the application
- Need to make scheduling decisions based on groups of processes

Process 0 1 2	Group 0 1 2	Priority 60 60	Process 0	Group 0	Priority 60	Process 0	Group O
0 1 2	0 1 2	60 60	0	0	60	0	0
60 30	60 30	60		I			
30	30	60	13				
			0 1 2	0 1 2	60	0.	0 1 2
15	15	90	60 30	60 30	75	0	60 30
16 17 75	16 17 75						
37	37	74	15	15 16 17	67	0 1 2	15 16 17
				75		60	75
18 19 20	18 19 20	81	7	37	93	30	37
78 39	78 39	70	3	18	76	15	18
	- 78 39	78 78 39 39	78 78 39 39 70	78 78 39 39 70 3	78 78 39 39 70 3 18	78 78 39 39 70 3 18 76	

Shaded rectangle represents executing process

Figure 9.16 Example of Fair Share Scheduler Three Processes, Two Groups

UNIX Scheduling

 Multilevel feedback using round-robin within each of the priority queues
 Priorities are recomputed once per second
 Base priority divides all processes into fixed bands of priority levels

UNIX Scheduling

Bands in decreasing order of priority
 Swapper
 Block I/O device control
 File manipulation
 Character I/O device control
 User processes

✓Bands of process priorities ✓user and kernel priorities



UNIX Scheduling

Formulas to calculate the priority

$$CPU_{j}(i) = \frac{CPU_{j}(i-1)}{2}$$

$$P_{j}(i) = Base_{j} + \frac{CPU_{j}(i)}{2} + nice_{j}$$

 $CPU_j(i - 1) =$ Measure of processor utilization by process j through interval i $P_j(i) =$ Priority of process j at beginning of interval i: lower values equal higher priorities $Base_j = Base$ priority of process j $nice_j =$ user-controllable adjustment factor

Process A		Proc	ess B	Process C		
Priority	CPU Count	Priority	CPU Count	Priority	CPU Count	
60	0 1 2	60	0	60	0	
75	30	60	0 1 2	60	0	
67	15	75	30	60	0 1 2	
63	7 8 9 - 67	67	15	75	30	
76	33	63	7 8 9	67	15	
68	16	76	33	63	7	
	Proc Priority 60 75 67 63 76 68 68	Priority CPU Count 60 0 1 2 <td>Process A Proc 60 0 60 1 2 - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 67 15 75 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 63 67 33 63 68 16 76</td> <td>Process A Process B Priority CPU Count Priority CPU Count 60 0 60 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>Process A Process B Pro Priority CPU Count Priority CPU Count Priority 60 0 60 0 60 0 2 60 1 2 60 0 60 0 60 . . . 75 30 60 0 1 . . . 60 1 67 15 75 30 60 . . . 63 7 67 15 75 </td></td<></td>	Process A Proc 60 0 60 1 2 - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 60 - - 67 15 75 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 67 63 7 63 67 33 63 68 16 76	Process A Process B Priority CPU Count Priority CPU Count 60 0 60 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>Process A Process B Pro Priority CPU Count Priority CPU Count Priority 60 0 60 0 60 0 2 60 1 2 60 0 60 0 60 . . . 75 30 60 0 1 . . . 60 1 67 15 75 30 60 . . . 63 7 67 15 75 </td></td<>	Process A Process B Pro Priority CPU Count Priority CPU Count Priority 60 0 60 0 60 0 2 60 1 2 60 0 60 0 60 . . . 75 30 60 0 1 . . . 60 1 67 15 75 30 60 . . . 63 7 67 15 75 	

Shaded rectangle represents executing process

Figure 9.17 Example of Traditional UNIX Process Scheduling